

Joint Transportation Board – 18 June 2009

Parking Action Plan – Phase 4a

Notice of Intent Displayed – 21 days	7&8/4/09 – Noon 5/5/09
Documents on Deposit Min 21 Days	7/4/09 - 5/5/09

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
BLUE BELL HILL				
Thorne Close			1 Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Robin Hood Lane			1 Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
AYLESFORD				
Pratling Street			1 Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Hermitage Lane (Spur road)	<p>1. Objection by Commuter on the grounds that the railway station car park is often full after 8 am. Parking in the spur road verges does not cause any inconvenience as the road is seldom used by pedestrians or vehicles</p> <p>Objector has been advised of reasons DYL are proposed. - Objection considered withdrawn as no response to request for confirmation within 10 working days</p>	<p>Parking continues to obstruct sight lines and turning movements for refuse, emergency and larger delivery vehicles. KCC have carried out work to the verge near the file access due to damage by</p>	<p>1 letter of support from resident (151 Hermitage Lane)</p> <p>2. Police</p>	.

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
	<p>2. Objection on grounds that vehicles do no harm, station car park too small, DYL look unsightly and not suitable for a rural lane Objector advised of reasons why DYL are proposed but confirms wishes to continue with objection *(see below)</p> <p>3. (From same address as above; different objector) Objection on grounds that vehicles do no harm, station car park too small, DYL look unsightly and not suitable for a rural lane Objector advised of reasons DYL are proposed. Objection considered withdrawn as no response to request for confirmation within 10 working days</p> <p>4. Cost of car parking in Station car park is prohibitive Cars are parked out of peoples way Objector advised of reasons DYL are proposed. Objection considered withdrawn as no response to request for confirmation within 10 working days</p>	<p>parked vehicles at the toe of the bank</p>		
	<p>*Commentary on the Objection</p> <p>The single track spur road leading off Hermitage Lane was created after a section of the original road was improved and realigned in the late 1980s. The spur road is situated on the north side of Barming rail station and car park,</p>			<p>Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB and advise Objector of decision</p>

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
	<p>which is a main commuter line between Maidstone and Victoria. The junction with Hermitage Lane turns sharply into 90 degree bend wide enough for two way traffic movements thereafter reducing in width into a single track road. Two residential properties are at the end of the road. A purpose built recessed turning area was constructed at the time Hermitage Lane was realigned to assist with emergency and essential service vehicle turning movements</p> <p>In the past year it has been noted that an increase in number of vehicles associated with rail station customers are parking on the verges on and around the junction and in the turning area. Parking in this location cause obstruction to larger emergency, refuse, and essential service vehicles turning into the spur road and damage to the highway verges. In addition the parking often extends to obstructing the footway to the south of the rail station and impedes visibility at the junction</p> <p>The objection centres on the fact that the installation of double yellow lines are unsightly and not in keeping</p>			
Coldharbour Lane			1. Police	Proceed with proposal
Friars View/Mill Hall			1. Police	Proceed with proposal
Teapot Lane/ Elm Walk	<p>1. Problems occur because of 6th form and teacher parking DYL not desirable as only a problem for 5 – 10 minutes a day Residents will be disadvantaged by DYL when it is only a short term problem Police and PAs should correctly enforce laws to stop illegal parking without the need for DYL Buses for the school will reduce in the</p>	<p>Parking continues for long periods on and around the junction with Teapot Lane and Elm Walk compromising the safe operation of the school crossing patrol,</p>	1. Police	

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
	<p>future Objector advised of reasons DYL are proposed and confirms wishes to continue with objection * (see below)</p> <p>2. DYL are not necessary as problem is only a few minutes each day Restrictions will be ignored and not enforced SYL around corner will not penalise residents outside school times when road is quiet Parking should be designated within the school site and problem will go away Send more letters to parents to tell them to stop being aggressive and free up more spaces in the road for pick up and drop off Objector advised of reasons DYL are proposed. Objection considered withdrawn as no response from objector to request for confirmation within 10 working days</p>	<p>child/pedestrian and vehicles movements especially at peak times</p>		
	<p>*Commentary on the Objection</p> <p>The junction of Elm Walk and Teapot Lane, Aylesford is opposite busy school entrances where the primary school crossing patrol operates. Persistent parking occurs at each end of the school day. During term time the junction area is subject to overspill parking from parents, visitors and students to Aylesford Sports College, Aylesford Primary School and playgroup sessions throughout the day causing safety and visibility problems on and around the junction. Particular concerns are for the safety of vulnerable pedestrians</p>			<p>Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB and advise objector of the decision.</p>

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
	<p>travelling to the school sites and the safe operation of the school crossing patrol. The police, local PCSO and Community Warden have attended the site on a regular basis over the past 18 months and confirm the persistent nature of the parking patterns. Although the junction is largely unrestricted during evenings and weekends the increasing business use of the College for community and sporting activities outside normal term time and school hours, means that the unprotected junction is still vulnerable to opportunist parking at other times.</p> <p>The objection centres around the fact that parking restrictions are neither desirable or necessary as the congestion is only for a short period and should be enforced by the police not by double yellow lines. In addition residents do not want to endure double yellow lines, the school should be made to accommodate all parking within the grounds and that the need to provide access for school buses will reduce in future years as local children choose to attend the sport college</p>			
Teapot Lane/A20			1. Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Mills Road			1. Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Burnt Ash Road			1. Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Lake Road			1. Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Wealden Way			1. Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
DITTON				
Orchard Grove /Cobdown Close		<p>1, Letter from resident asking from proposed DYL to be matched on other side of Orchard Grove, and extended round the bend in Cobdown Close.</p> <p>2. Fax to say the proposal was a waste of money</p> <p>3. Parish Council support but ask for additional measures to be considered</p> <p>4. Considered a waste of time as existing DYL are not enforced.</p> <p>Prefer to have a yellow box junction at junction with A20</p>	<p>1. Police</p> <p>2. PC support but ask for additional measures</p>	<p>The residents advised that there is no evidence that there is a parking problem in the area identified outside the proposed restriction site. We will continue to monitor the situation after implementation to see if are necessary.</p> <p>Area will be monitored - Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.</p>
Bradbourne Lane/A20		<p>1. PC support but ask for additional measures</p>	<p>1. Police</p>	<p>PC advised area will be monitored to see if additional restrictions will be required – Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.</p>

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
EAST MALLING			1.Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
Cottenham Close/Mill Street			1.Police 2 No. Letters of support from adjoining residents, 2.Borough and County Councillors for the area	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
HADLOW				
Carpenters Lane/A26		Parish Council have not raised any objections	1.Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
TONBRIDGE				
Chiltern Way/Yardley Park Road		38 separate letters in from residents who do not formally object to proposals for corner protection but ask for consideration of daytime restrictions 8 am – 10 am to stop other drivers other than residents parking all day in Chiltern Way and additional corner protection at	1.Police	Organiser of the campaign advised that area will be monitored and appropriate action taken if there is evidence of obstructive parking as part of Phase 5 of the Parking Action Plan Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.- Monitor the area after implementation

Location	Objections	Comments	Letters of Support	Recommendations
		junction with Cheviot Close and Dernier Road		
Waterloo Road			1.Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
WATERINGBURY				
Love Lane/A26			1.Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.
WROTHAM				
White Hill/ A20 slip road			1.Police	Proceed with proposal previously endorsed by the JTB.